

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/647,984	08/26/2003	Tomasz Bogdan Madajczak	P16491	2484	
28062 BUCKLEY M	7590 09/26/200 1ASCHOFF & TALWA	EXAM	EXAMINER		
50 LOCUST AVENUE			ZHU, BO HUI ALVIN		
NEW CANAA	AN, CT 06840		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2619		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/26/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/647,984	MADAJCZAK, TOMASZ BOGDAN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
BO HUI A. ZHU	2619		

	BO HUI A. ZHU	2619					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED on 08/25/2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 XI he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request				
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 							
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) a set forth in (b) above, it richecked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filler may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to They raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below They are not deemed to place the application in better 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	TE below);					
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	rucing or simplifying to	10 133003 101				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imal, filed emendmen	at agraphing the				
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii submitted in a separate, t	intely filed afficilities	it canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	before or on the date of filing a No	tice of Appeal will not	be entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			•				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement(s)</i> . (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
NI Vi							
/Hassan Kizou/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2619							

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

In regard to the 101 rejections, Applicant argues that a claim directed to "a medium storing program code" is statutory under 101. Examiner respectfully disagree. As was cited by Applicant, the Interim Guidelines specifically states that "when functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases."

In regard to the 103 rejections, Applicant argues that the limitation of "receiving a network packet and dividing the network packet into a plurality of m-packets is equal to an element size, and wherein the element size is associated with a receive buffer" is not taught in the art of record (Potter and Brown), by citing Brown that each packet received within the turn around time interval is still "delivered", one-by-one, into one of the received buffers". Examiner respectfully disagrees. Potter disclose receiving a stream of network packet consisting of a plurality of smaller packets over a TDM link. Brown disclose that receiving or to seven packets within a time interval and storing the packets, one-by-one, into one of the seven receive buffers. Thus the combination of Potter and Brown, by applying broad interpretation, teaches the limitation of "receiving a network packet and diright be network packet and wherein the element size is associated with a receive buffer."